

Gödel's Speed-up Theorem and its impacts on Mathematics

Sakaé Fuchino (渚野 昌)

Graduate School of System Informatics
Kobe University

(神戸大学大学院 システム情報学研究科)

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/index-j.html>

情報基礎特論 2016

(2016年09月06日 (22:10 JST) version)

2016年09月02日

This presentation is typeset by p^AT_EX with beamer class.

These slides are downloadable as

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/speed-up-theorem-2016-pf.pdf>

For a concretely given (recursive) theory T with certain property, in particular s.t. the elementary arithmetic can be developed in T , and any computable (recursive) function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there is a formula $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ in the language of the theory T s.t. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi(\underline{n})$ is provable from T but the simplest proof of $\varphi(\underline{n})$ has the degree (of complication) $\geq f(n)$. In contrast, $T + \text{consis}(T)$ proves $\forall x \varphi(x)$ and thus there is a linear function g s.t. the degree of the proof $\varphi(\underline{n})$ from $T + \text{consis}(T)$ is $\leq g(n)$.

- ▶ \underline{n} denotes the numeral (in the language of T) representing n .
- ▶ The assertion above varies according to the exact choice of certain property and degree (of complication).

- ▶ Kurt Gödel (1906–1978, (明治 39 年–昭和 53 年)) mentioned the statement of his Speed-up Theorem in an seminar report in 1936 (昭和 11 年).
- ▶ The proof of Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems were obtained in 1930. The Speed-up Theorem can be seen as a spin-off of the results around the Incompleteness Theorems.
- ▷ Both of the terms “incompleteness theorem” and “speed-up theorem” were coined not by Gödel himself but introduced soon after these results were public.
- ▶ Gödel never published the proof of the Speed-up Theorem.
- ▶ Samuel Buss' paper in 1995 contains one of the first explicit proof of the Gödel's theorem.

- ▶ The original statement of the theorem was as follows:

Sei nun S_i das System der Logik i -ter Stufe, wobei die natürlichen Zahlen als Individuen betrachtet werden. . . . Zu jeder in S_i berechenbaren Funktion ϕ gibt es unendlich viele Formeln f von der Art, daß, wenn k die Länge eines kürzesten Beweises für f in S_i und ℓ die Länge eines kürzesten Beweises für f in S_{i+1} ist, $k > \phi(\ell)$.
K. Gödel [1936]

Now let S_i be the system of the i th order logic where the natural numbers are considered to be the basic objects. . . . To each computable function ϕ in S_i , there are infinitely many formulas f s.t., if k is the length of a shortest proof of f in S_i and ℓ the length of a shortest proof of f in S_{i+1} , then we have $k > \phi(\ell)$.
translated by S.F.

Another version of the Speed-up Theorem

- ▶ The version of the Speed-up Theorem with degree = the length of the proof (= number of the formulas involved in the proof),
as in the original formulation of the theorem by Gödel, is dependent on the system of the proof.
- ▷ It can be even false in some artificially set deduction system!
- ▶ The version of the theorem with degree = the sum of the lengths of the formulas appearing in the proof
is independent of the choice of the deduction system (as far as the language of the theory contains only finitely many non logical symbols):

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{L}_{\{\}}\}$ be the language consisting of $\in, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \emptyset$. Let $\mathbf{ZF}_{\{\}}\}$ be the axiom system of Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory formulated in $\mathcal{L}_{\{\}}\}$.

Theorem 1 Let T be a concretely given (recursive) theory containing a large enough fragment of the theory $\mathbf{ZF}_{\{\}}\}$. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a computable (recursive) function. Then there is an $\mathcal{L}_{\{\}}\}$ -formula $\varphi(x_1)$ s.t., for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $T \vdash \varphi(\underline{n})$ but, if $T \vdash^P \varphi(\underline{n})$ for a proof P in T , then $T \vdash \text{rank}(\ulcorner P \urcorner) \geq f(\underline{n})$.

In contrast we have

$T + \text{consis}(\ulcorner T \urcorner) \vdash \forall n \in \omega \varphi(n)$.

- ▶ Suppose that $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a fast growing computable function s.t., say, $f(7)$ exceeds the number of atoms in the whole universe.
- ▷ Let T be as in Theorem 1 and $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ be as in Theorem 1 for these f and T . Then we know that $T \vdash \varphi(\underline{7})$ but it is impossible to write down the proof (as far as T is consistent).
- ▷ In $T + \text{consis}(\ulcorner T \urcorner)$ we obtain a proof of $\varphi(\underline{7})$ of reasonable length!
- ▶ Let T and φ be as above (and assume that T is consistent).
- ▷ The theory $\tilde{T} = T + \neg\varphi(\underline{7})$ is inconsistent but there is no feasible proof of the inconsistency!

- ▶ There are (recursive) theories T_i , $i < \omega_1^{CK}$ s.t. $T_0 = \text{ZFC}$,
 $\langle \text{Th}(T_i) : i < \omega_1^{CK} \rangle$ is continuously increasing
 $T_{i+1} \vdash \text{consis}(\ulcorner \ulcorner T_i \urcorner \urcorner)$ for all $i < \omega_1^{CK}$ and
 $\text{Th}(\bigcup_{i < \omega_1^{CK}} T_i) \subseteq \text{Th}(\text{ZFC} + \text{“there is an inaccessible cardinal”})$
- ▶ Similar assertion holds between two extensions of set theory T , T' where the stronger theory T' include a large cardinal axiom which transcends the weaker set theory T .
- ▶ Compare this with Gödel's Speed-up Theorem.

- ▶ 梶野 昌, 集合論 (= 数学) の未解決問題, in:

現代思想 2016 年 10 月臨時増刊号 総特集=未解決問題集
(2016 年 9 月 7 日発売)

