

A reflection principle as a reverse mathematical fixed point

Sakaé Fuchino (渇野 昌)

Graduate School of System Informatics
Kobe University

(神戸大学大学院 システム情報学研究科)

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/>

CTFM 2015

in honor of Professor Kazuyuki Tanaka's 60th birthday

(30. November 2018 (08:54 CET) version)

11. September 2015, 於 デジタル多目的ホール (東京工業大学)

This presentation is typeset by p^AT_EX with beamer class.

The most uptodate version of these slides is downloadable as

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/tanakak2015-pf.pdf>

- ▶ 60 歳以上のアクティヴなロジシヤンのクラブへようこそ!!
(Welcome to the club of active logicians over 60!!)

- ▶ The Axiom of Choice (AC) is equivalent to many mathematical assertions over ZF e.g.:
- ▷ For any family \mathcal{F} of (not necessarily Hausdorff) compact spaces, the product space $\prod \mathcal{F}$ is also compact (J.L. Kelley, 1950).
- ▷ Every commutative ring with the unit has a maximal ideal (W. Hodges, 1979).
- ▷ For any field F and any linear algebra A over F , there is a linear basis B of A over F (A. Blass, 1984).
- ▷ ... and many other mathematical assertions (for further assertions, e.g. ask Assaf Karagila).
- ▶ These equivalence results can be interpreted as the facts suggesting the (mathematical) significance of AC (over ZF).

- ▶ The Continuum Hypothesis (CH) is also known to be equivalent to many mathematical statements over ZFC such as:
 - ▷ There is an uncountable collection \mathcal{F} of analytic (complex) functions s.t. the set $\{f(z) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is countable for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (Erdős, 1964)
 - ▷ \mathbb{R} can be decomposed into countably many sets X_n , $n \in \omega$ s.t. each X_n is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} (Erdős and Kakutani, 1943).
- ▶ CH also implies many mathematical theorems like:
 - ▷ There are functions $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t. both $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx dy$ and $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy dx$ exist but they are different.

Theorem 1. (Alan Dow, 1988) If an uncountable compact space X is non-metrizable then there is a non-metrizable subspace of X of cardinality \aleph_1 .

Very rough sketch of the proof: Take sufficiently closed (more precisely: internally unbounded) elementary submodel $M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ of cardinality \aleph_1 with $X \in M$. Then $X \cap M$ is non metrizable. \square

Theorem 2. For any regular cardinal κ there is a topological space X which is not metrizable but all subspaces of X of cardinality $< \kappa$ are metrizable.

Proof: Let $X = \kappa + 1$ where κ is discrete and $\{\kappa + 1 \setminus \alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$ forms the nbhd base of κ . \square

Does the reflection of non-metrizability hold for locally compact spaces?

- ▶ The answer is independent:
- ▷ $V = L$ produces a counter example (folklore).
- ▷ Axiom R (a consequence of Martin's Maximum) implies the reflection of non-metrizability for locally compact spaces (Z. Balogh, 2002).

- The reflection (down to size \aleph_1) of non-metrizability for locally compact spaces can be characterized by a set-theoretic principle called **FRP** (Fodor-type reflection principle):

FRP: For any regular uncountable κ , for any stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ consisting of ordinals of cofinality ω and for any $g : S \rightarrow [\kappa]^{<\aleph_0}$, there is $I \in [\kappa]^{\aleph_1}$ s.t.

- (1) $cf(\sup I) = \omega_1$
- (2) $g(\alpha) \subseteq I$ for all $\alpha \in I \cap S$
- (3) for any regressive $f : S \cap I \rightarrow \kappa$ with $f(\alpha) \in g(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in S \cap I$, there is $\xi^* < \kappa$ s.t. $f^{-1}''\{\xi^*\}$ is stationary in $\sup(I)$.

FRP: For any regular uncountable κ , for any stationary $S \subseteq \kappa$ consisting of ordinals of cofinality ω and for any $g : S \rightarrow [\kappa]^{<\aleph_0}$, there is $I \in [\kappa]^{\aleph_1}$ s.t.

(1) $cf(\sup I) = \omega_1$

(2) $g(\alpha) \subseteq I$ for all $\alpha \in I \cap S$

(3) for any regressive $f : S \cap I \rightarrow \kappa$ with $f(\alpha) \in g(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in S \cap I$, there is $\xi^* < \kappa$ s.t. $f^{-1}''\{\xi^*\}$ is stationary in $\sup(I)$.

Theorem 3. (S.F., I. Juhász, L. Soukup, Z. Szentmiklóssy and T. Usuba, 2010)

FRP implies the reflection of non-metrizability of a locally compact space down to a subspace of cardinality $\leq \aleph_1$.

Theorem 4. (S.F., H. Sakai, L. Soukup and T. Usuba) The reflection in Theorem 3 implies FRP.

- ▶ FRP is equivalent to the following assertions over ZFC:
- ▷ For every uncountable locally compact space X , if X is non-metrizable then there is a non-metrizable subspace of X of cardinality \aleph_1 .
- ▷ If an uncountable T_1 -space X is not left separated then there is a subspace of X of cardinality \aleph_1 which is not left separated.
- ▷ For any graph G if the coloring number of G is uncountable then there is a subgraph of G of cardinality \aleph_1 with uncountable coloring number.
- ▷ If an uncountable Boolean algebra B is not openly generated then there are stationarily many subalgebras of B of cardinality \aleph_1 which are not openly generated (SF+A.Rinot, 2011).

- ▶ FRP implies the total failure of square principle.
- ▶ FRP implies the Singular Cardinal Hypothesis (actually it even implies Shelah's Strong Hypothesis, (S.F.+A.Rinot, T.Usuba)).
- ▶ Rado's Conjecture (If a tree is not special then there is an uncountable subtree which is not special) implies FRP.
- ▶ Martin's Maximum also implies FRP.
- ▶ FRP is preserved by c.c.c. extension. Hence FRP is consistent with large continuum.
- ▷ All of these statements are of course true for the mathematical statements equivalent to FRP.

- ▶ Let ZFC_ω be the theory obtained by replacing the Axiom of Replacement by the statement:
 - ▷ For a class function \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{F}''x$ is a set for any countable x .
- ▶ Many of the known equivalence over ZFC are still valid over ZFC_ω .
- ▶ ZFC_ω may be regarded as the theory of the superuniverse of the set generic multiverses. I shall discuss more about this in RIMS set theory meeting in the next week.

御静聴ありがとうございました。

終