

Theorem A If X is ecc-gen. supercompact and X is locally countably compact top. sp. n.t. all subspaces of nite $< X$ are metrizable then X is metrizable.

Thm 0 The following is consistent and follows from $MA^+(\sigma\text{-closed})$: If X is locally countably compact and all subspaces of nite $< X_2$ are metrizable then X is metrizable.

Remark If X is ecc-gen. supercompact then X is weakly metrizable $\geq 2^{\aleph_1}$ n.t. $= 2^{\aleph_1}$ is consistent. The statement of Thm 0 is independent over $(ecc.H(\aleph_1)) - RCA^+$

Theorem D If $(P, H(\aleph_1)) - RCA^+$ holds for a class P of posets n.t. P is iterable (i.e. P is closed w.r.t. restriction, forcing equivalence, 2 step iteration and $\dot{1} \in P$) and including all ecc posets. Then for any $P \in P$ n.t. $\Vdash_P BFA_{\aleph_1}(P)$, Σ_1 -formula φ and $a \in H(\aleph_1)^V$, $\Vdash_P \varphi(a)$ implies $V \models \varphi(a)$.

$\mathcal{A}$ is point countable if for all $p \in U$ $\mathcal{A}$
 $\{A \in \mathcal{A} : p \in A\}$ is countable. $\mathcal{A}'$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{A}$
 if $U \cap \mathcal{A}' = U \cap \mathcal{A}$ and for each $A \in \mathcal{A}'$ there is $A' \in \mathcal{A}$
 s.t. $A' \subseteq A$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is an open covering of X
 i.e. $\bigcup \mathcal{U} = X$ and each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is open, then $\mathcal{U}'$ is an
open refinement if it is a refinement and each $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$
 is open.

Lemma 1 For a cc pc $\mathcal{P}$ and a top. space $X = (X, \tau)$
 if $\mathcal{U}$ is an open covering of X and $\prod_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}$ is point countable
 open refinement of $\mathcal{U}$. Then there is a point countable

open refinement of $\mathcal{U}$ (in V).
Proof $\prod_{\mathcal{P}} |\mathcal{U}| = \lambda$ wlog. (otherwise
 replace $\mathcal{P}$ with power $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P})$)
 Let $\langle u_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a sequence of $\mathcal{P}$ -bases s.t.
 $\prod_{\mathcal{P}} \langle u_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ is a injective enumeration of $\mathcal{U}$ and
 $f : \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $u_\alpha \subseteq f(\alpha) \in \mathcal{U}$
 $\mathcal{U}_0 := \{u_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ where
 $u_\alpha = \{p \in X : p \prod_{\mathcal{P}} p \in u_\alpha \text{ for some } p \in \mathcal{P}\} \dots$

A top. space X is meta-Lindelöf if any open covering of X
 has a point countable open refinement.
Fact 2 If X is metrizable then X is meta-Lindelöf
 (paracompact $\Rightarrow$ meta-Lindelöf)
 $\uparrow$ Stone
 metrizable
Proposition 3 If V_κ is cc-gm. open-compact then for any
 non-meta-Lindelöf space X of character $< \kappa$ there is a subspace Y
 of X s.t. $|Y| < \kappa$ and Y is non-meta-Lindelöf
Proof Suppose wlog that the underlying set of X is λ and
 $|\tau| = \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a cc pc o.t. for $(V, \mathcal{P})$ -generic $\mathbb{G}$

there are $j, M \in V[\mathbb{G}]$ s.t. $j: V \rightarrow M$
 $j(v) > \lambda$ and $j''\lambda \in M$.
 In M let $Y = j(X) \cap j''\lambda$. Then
 $V[\mathbb{G}] \models Y \cong X$ (we need here the condition
 X is of character $< \kappa$)
 By Lemma 1 $V[\mathbb{G}] \models X$ is not meta-Lindelöf
 $\cong Y$
 It follows that $M \models Y$ is not meta-Lindelöf.
 $M \models$ there is a subspace Z of (Y, τ_Y) of cardinality $< j(\kappa)$
 which is non-meta-Lindelöf
 By elementarity
 $V \models$ there is a subspace Z of X of cardinality $< \kappa$...

Theorem 4 (Dow) If X is countably compact and $\leq \aleph_2$ -metrizable (all subspaces of size $\leq \aleph_2$ are metrizable) then X is metrizable.

Proposition 1 (S.F.-Jákoš-Sakai-Szentmiklóssy-Vosha)

If X is locally countably compact, meta-Lindelöf and $\leq \aleph_2$ -metrizable then X is metrizable.

A sketch of a proof: Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ^{open} covering of X

s.t. for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\bar{U}$ is countably compact and hence by Dow metrizable. By meta-Lindelöfness of X there is a

point-countable open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ for each $U \in \mathcal{V}$, $\bar{U}$ is metrizable so $\bar{U}$ is countably compact so $\bar{U}$ is separable. There are partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{V}$

s.t. $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is countable and $P, P' \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow P, P'$ are disjoint.

$\{U_P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a partition of X into open sets
 $\uparrow$
 all open sets

each U_P is metrizable as a countable union of metrizable spaces (Urysohn's metrizable).

Thus X is metrizable. $\square$

proof of Theorem A Suppose that X is an

is the statement of Theorem A. Then X is $\leq \aleph_2$ meta-Lindelöf by the assumption and Fact 2.

Thus by Proposition 3 X is meta-Lindelöf.

By Prop. 5, it follows that X is metrizable $\square$

$$(P, H(K)) - R_c A^+ \quad (\Leftrightarrow MP(P, H(K)))$$

Recurrence Ax.

Maximality Principle

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{If } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \psi \text{ formula in } H(K) \text{ with}$$

$$\Vdash_P \psi(a) \text{ then there is a } P\text{-generic } W \text{ of } V$$

$$(\text{i.e. } W \text{ is a trans model of } V \text{ and } V = W[G] \text{ for some } (G, \in V))$$

$$(W, \mathcal{Q}) \text{ generic } G_0 \text{ where } W \models Q \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for some } Q \in W$$

$$W \models \psi(a)$$

Bounded Forcing Axiom

complete Boolean alg.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be complete Boolean (i.e. $\mathbb{P} = B \setminus \{0\}$)

$$BFA_{cu}(\mathbb{P}) : \Leftrightarrow \text{for any pos } \mathcal{D} \text{ of maximal}$$

antichains $A \in \mathcal{D}$ with $|A| \leq \kappa$ $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \kappa$

there is a $\mathcal{D}$ -gen. filter in $\mathbb{P}$.

Theorem 6 Suppose that $(\mathcal{P}, H(\kappa)) - R_{CA}$ holds

$(\kappa \geq \aleph_2)$ If $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

and Σ_1 -formula φ and $a \in H(\kappa)$,

if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a)$ then $V \models \varphi(a)$

Proof Suppose $\mathbb{P}, \varphi, a$ are as above.

and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a)$.

By $(\mathcal{P}, H(\kappa)) - R_{CA}$,

there is a ground w of V s.t. $w \models \varphi(a)$

Since φ is Σ_1 it follows that $V \models \varphi(a)$.

□

Theorem 7 (Bagaria Absoluteness

Theorem) TFAE (a) $BFA_{<\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$

(b) for any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$, $\Sigma_1 \varphi$ and $a \in H(\kappa)$

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a) \Rightarrow \varphi(a)$,

□

Cor. 8

$(\mathcal{P}, H(\kappa)) - R_{CA}$ implies

$BFA_{<\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$.