

Thm 1 (Barghout's Absoluteness Theorem)

For any κ and

a class of posets $\mathcal{P}$ free

consisting of
cB posets

(a) $BFA_{\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$

(b) For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ Σ_1 -formula φ and $a \in H(\kappa)$

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a)$ as $H(\kappa^+)$
 $\mu = \text{hd}(a)$

(c) For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $(V, \mathbb{P})$ -gen. G

$\langle H(\kappa)^V, \epsilon \rangle \preceq_{\Sigma} \langle H(\kappa)^{V[G]}, \epsilon \rangle$

Def. $BFA_{\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$: (a) For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$,
 $\mathbb{I} = \{I_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\}$ for $\mu < \kappa$ $I_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ $|I_\alpha| < \kappa$
max antichain
then $\mathbb{P}$ is a filter G on $\mathbb{P}$ s.t. $G \cap I_\alpha \neq \emptyset$
for any $\alpha < \mu$. (we assume $\mathbb{P}$ is cB poset (i.e.
 $\mathbb{P} = B \setminus \{0_B\}$ for a cB B).

Proof of Thm 1 (b) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) is trivial since
for a cardinal κ $H(\kappa) \preceq V$ (provable in ZFC)
[suppose $a \in H(\kappa)$ then $\text{hd}(a) \in H(\kappa)$. Let $V_\alpha \preceq V$
Let $\text{hd}(a) \in M \preceq V_\alpha$ $|M| < \kappa$
Let N be transitive collapse of M $N \models \varphi(\text{hd}(a)) \subseteq H(\kappa)$

Thm 2 (Kojima - Tsuyuki + slight extension) For any regular κ

for any class $\mathcal{P}$ of posets $\mathbb{P}$ pos. $\mathbb{P}$ free

(a) $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa))_{\Sigma_1} \text{--} R_{cA}^+$

(b) $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa))_{\Sigma_1} \text{--} R_{cA}$

(c) $BFA_{\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$

Proof: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): trivial

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): proved last time

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): We use Thm 1

Σ_1 formula $\varphi(a)$

By Barghout Thm

It follows that $\varphi(a)$ is preserved

So there is a ground of V satisfying $\varphi(a)$. $\square$

Def.

$(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa))_{\mathbb{P}} \text{--} R_{cA}^+$

(c) For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a \in H(\kappa)$

if φ is a $\mathbb{P}$ -formula and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a)$

then there is a $\mathbb{P}$ -ground W of V

s.t. $a \in W$ and $W \models \varphi(a)$

Thm 3 For a class of posets $\mathcal{P}$ s.t. $\{1\} \in \mathcal{P}$
and w $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ preserving ($\mathcal{P}$ is not pos.)

If $(\mathcal{P}, H(x))_{\Sigma_2} - RCA^+$
then for any Σ_1 -formula in $\mathcal{L}_e, I_{NS}$ and $a \in H(x)$
for $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ $\vdash_P \psi(a) \Leftrightarrow \psi(a)$

Def. $I_{NS} = \{A \subseteq \omega_1 : A \text{ is nonstationary}\}$, $\mathcal{L}_{e, I_{NS}} = \{e, I_{NS}\}$

Lemma 4 (2) If M is transitive (weak enough at the moment)

$(M, \in I_{NS}) \models \psi(a) \Leftrightarrow \psi(a)$ $M \supseteq I_{NS}$

for Σ_0 -formula in $\mathcal{L}_{e, I_{NS}}$

(2) If $M \subseteq N$ transitive models of enough ZFC

with $I_{NS}^M = I_{NS}^N \cap M$ then

For Σ_1 -formula ψ and $a \in M$ if $M \models \psi(a)$
then $N \models \psi(a)$

Lemma 5 If $\psi \in \Sigma_1$ in $\mathcal{L}_{e, I_{NS}}$ then
 ψ is equivalent to Σ_2 in $\mathcal{L}_e$ (over ZFC)

Proof

$I(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists y (\underbrace{y \subseteq \omega_1 \wedge \psi \text{ is def.}}_{\Sigma_1} \wedge x \cap y = \emptyset)$

$\neg I(x) \in \Pi_1$

Proof of Thm 3 Suppose $\psi \in \Sigma_1$ in $\mathcal{L}_e, I_{NS}$,
 $a \in H(x)$ and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\psi(a)$ then
by $\textcircled{1}$, $\textcircled{2}$, $\textcircled{3}$ and $\textcircled{4}$ we have $\vdash_P \psi(a)$
Suppose now $\not\vdash_P \psi(a)$. Then by Lemma 5 and
 $(\)_{\Sigma_1} - RCA^+$

Lemma 6 If $\mathcal{P}$ is not preserving then for $(V, \mathcal{P}) \models G$

$I_{NS}^V = I_{NS}^{V[G]} \cap V$ $\square$

there is a $\mathcal{P}$ -ground $W \subseteq V$
s.t. $W \models a$ but $W \models \psi(a)$
Since V is a $\mathcal{P}$ -generic extension of W
we have the assumption of Lemma 4 (2)
Then we have $V \models \psi(a)$ $\square$

Thm 7 (Bagaria) for a regular $\kappa > \omega$ and a class $\mathcal{P}$ of posets, TFAE (a) $\text{BFA}_{\kappa}^{+<\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$, (not provable)

(b) For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$, Σ_1 -formula in $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_1, \text{NS}}$ and $a \in H(\kappa) \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi(a) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a)$

(c) For $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P} \rightarrow (V, \mathbb{P}) \models \mathcal{G}$

$\langle H(\kappa)^V, \in, I_{\text{NS}}^V \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_1} \langle H(\kappa)^{V[G]}, \in, I_{\text{NS}}^{V[G]} \rangle$

Def. $\text{BFA}_{\kappa}^{+<\kappa}(\mathcal{P}) \Leftrightarrow$ For any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ and any $\mu < \kappa$ of max. antichain in $\mathbb{P}$ with $|I_\mu| < \kappa$ $\mu < \kappa$ and $\mathbb{P}$ $\{ \dot{G}_\mu : \mu < \mu \}$ $\dot{G}_\mu$ is name for a stationary subset of ω_1

There is a filter $\dot{G} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $\dot{G} \cap I_\mu \neq \emptyset$ and $\dot{G} \cap I_\mu \neq \emptyset$

⑦ $\Sigma_2[\mathcal{G}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\kappa$

Con For $\mathbb{P}$ with $\text{fz} \in \mathcal{P}$ not preserving

$(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa))_{\Sigma_2} \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$ implies $\text{BFA}_{\kappa}^{+<\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$.

Thm 8 If $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa)) \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$, $a \in H(\kappa)$

$\text{hd}(\mu) \leq \mu < \kappa$, $\varphi \in \Sigma_2$ formula, if $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ forces

$\text{BFA}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$, Then $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} H(\mu^+) \models \varphi(a)$ then $H(\mu^+) \models \varphi(a)$
(i.e. $H(\mu^+)^V \prec_{\Sigma_2} H(\mu^+)^{V[G]}$)

$\mathcal{G} : (V, \mathbb{P}) \models \mathcal{G}$

Proof Suppose $\nVdash_{\mathbb{P}} H(\mu^+) \models \varphi(a)$ then $\mathbb{P}$

$(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa)) \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$ then i.e. $\mathbb{P}$ generic $W \subseteq V$

s.t. $a \in W \rightarrow W \models \text{BFA}_{\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$, $H(\mu^+) \models \varphi(a)$

Bagaria's Absoluteness Thm

We apply Thm 1 on this and we obtain

$\forall \mathbb{P} \Vdash H(\mu^+) \models \varphi(a)$

Thm 9

Assume that $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa)) \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$ holds. If $\mathbb{P}$ forces $\text{BFA}_{\kappa}^{+<\kappa}(\mathcal{P})$, then, for all $\mu < \kappa$

$\langle H(\mu^+)^V, \in, I_{\text{NS}}^V \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle H(\mu^+)^{V[G]}, \in, I_{\text{NS}}^{V[G]} \rangle$ for $(V, \mathbb{P})$ -generic G .

Proof. Similarly to Thm 8 using Thm 7 in place of Thm 1. $\square$

S.F., T. Usuba, On Recurrence Axioms, Theorem 3.1 (p-Lg-RcA-0)

Suppose that κ is tightly $\mathcal{P}$ -Laver-gen. ultrahuge for an iterable class $\mathcal{P}$ of posets. Then $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa)) \Sigma_2 \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$ holds.

S.F., T. Usuba, On Recurrence Axioms, Theorem 4.10 (p-Lg-RcA-5)

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is an iterable class of posets and κ is super- $\mathcal{C}(\infty)$ - $\mathcal{P}$ -Laver-gen. ultrahuge. Then $(\mathbb{P}, H(\kappa)) \text{--} \text{RcA}^+$ holds.