

Recap:

Axioms of Set Theory

(in a naive axiomatic setting)

- Axiom of Extensionality
- Axiom of Empty set \emptyset
- Pairing Axiom

<http://fiction.doh.jp/theta/>

$$\{a, b\} \quad \{a\}$$

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \{\{a, b\}, \{b\}\}$$

Exercise For a, b, a', b'

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a', b' \rangle \Leftrightarrow a = a' \text{ and } b = b'$$

Note that, if $a = b$ then $\langle a, a \rangle = \{\{a\}, \{a\}\} = \{\{a\}\}$

Lemma 1.1 $\emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$

Axiom of Union $\cup a$ If $a = \{c, d\}$ then we write $\cup a = c \cup d$.

Axiom of Separation: If $\psi(\cdot)$ is some property formulated

w/ing " \in " and a there is b s.t.

for any c $c \in b \Leftrightarrow c \in a$ and $\psi(c)$

We write $b = \{c \in a \mid \psi(c)\}$

— ψ may contain some other sets
w/ing a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} as parameters

i.e. $\psi(\cdot)$ may be $\Psi(\cdot, a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$

for some other property Ψ

Lemma 2.1 For any property $\psi(c)$ as above
there is a set a s.t.

(0) if there is no c with $\psi(c)$
then $a = \emptyset$.

(1) if there is (at least one) c with
 $\psi(c)$ then, for every d ,

$d \in a \Leftrightarrow d \in b$ for any b s.t. $\psi(b)$

Intuitively $a = \bigcap \{b \mid \psi(b)\}$

this is not a set
in general.

Proof (d) is o.k.

Assume that there is some c with $\varphi(c)$.

(Idea: a if it exists should be a "subset" of c !)

$$a = \{d \in c \mid d \in b \text{ for all } b \text{ s.t. } \varphi(b)\}$$

this is a set by Axiom of Separation

and this a is as desired! \square

For a, b , a is said to be a subset of b if for any $c \in a$, $c \in b$ holds.

If a is a subset of b then we denote this by $a \subseteq b$ ($a \subset b$) Axiom of Extensionality implies

$$a \subseteq b \text{ and } b \subseteq a \Rightarrow a = b$$

Axiom of Infinity - There is a with the following property ^(a) $\emptyset \in a$, ^(b) if $b \in a$ then $b \cup \{b\} \in a$ ($= \cup \{b, \{b\}\}$)

For the set a as in the Axiom

$$0 = \emptyset \in a$$

$$1 = \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\} \in a$$

$$\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\} \in a \quad 0, 1, 2, \dots \in a$$

$$2 := \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$$

$$\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \cup \{\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\} \in a$$

$$3 := \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\} \dots$$

a as the Axiom of Infinity is not unique.

Let φ be the property saying that $\varphi(a) \Leftrightarrow a$ satisfies (a) and (b)

Define
$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcap \{a \mid \varphi(a)\}$$

This is the set \mathbb{N} by Lemma 2.1

\mathbb{N} satisfies (a) and (b)

Lemma 2.2 \mathbb{N} satisfies (a) & (b)
in the formulation of the Axiom of Infinity.

Proof Note that
 $d \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow d \in b$ for a, b with $\varphi(b)$

$\varphi \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\varphi \in b$ with $\varphi(b)$
Suppose that $d \in \mathbb{N}$ then $d \in b$ for all b
with $\varphi(b)$

It follows that $d \cup \{d\} \in b$ for all b with $\varphi(b)$

Thus $d \cup \{d\} \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that \mathbb{N}
satisfies (b). \square

(Almost?) everything in classical analysis
can be reformulated in the (everything taught in
Calculus I II III etc
微分算学)
axiom system consisting of
Axioms 1 introduced so far.

Power set Axiom: For a , there is b s.t.
for $c, c \in b \Leftrightarrow c \subseteq a$

Such b is called the power set of a and denoted by
 $b = \mathcal{P}(a)$

The axiom system consisting of all the axioms
introduced so far is called the Zermelo set theory.

and denoted by " \mathbb{Z} "

Zermelo introduces a system (not quite identical
with the one here but more or less the same) in
his 1908 paper.

The treatment of the notion of functions in \mathbb{Z}
(~~exists~~ in extensions of \mathbb{Z})

For A, B let

$$A \times B = \{ \langle a, b \rangle \mid a \in A, b \in B \}$$

i.e. let $A \times B$ be the set with the property
that $c \in A \times B \Leftrightarrow$ there are $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ s.t.
 $c = \langle a, b \rangle$

Lemma 2.3 $A \times B$ exists.

Proof Assuming that $A \times B$ exists

$c \in A \times B \Leftrightarrow$ there are $a \in A, b \in B$ with
 $c = \langle a, b \rangle = \{ \{a, b\}, \{b\} \}$

$$\{ \{a, b\}, \{b\} \} \subseteq A \cup B \quad \{ \{a, b\}, \{b\} \} \in \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$$

$$\{ \{ \{a, b\}, \{b\} \} \} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$$

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$$

$$A \times B = \{ c \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B)) \mid c = \langle a, b \rangle \text{ for some } a \in A \text{ and } b \in B \}$$

exists! \square

For any A, B a function from A to B
 is a subset F of $A \times B$ s.t.
 for any $a \in A$ there is a unique $b \in B$
 s.t. $\langle a, b \rangle \in F$
 If F is a function from A to B
 we denote this by $F: A \rightarrow B$
 For any $a \in A$ the unique $b \in B$
 with $\langle a, b \rangle \in F$ is denoted by $F(a)$.

Lemma 2.4 For A, B then
 set $A_B = \{F: F: A \rightarrow B\}$

Proof Assuming A_B exists
 $F \in A_B \Leftrightarrow F \subseteq A \times B \Leftrightarrow F \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$

$$A_B = \left\{ F \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B) \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{For any } a \in A \text{ there is a unique } b \in B \\ \text{s.t. } \langle a, b \rangle \in F \end{array} \right\}$$

\uparrow
 This exists
 by Lemma 2.3

exists.
 Using these we can define $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$
 ... in \mathbb{Z} .