

Noting the structure $\mathcal{A}^2$
fully of first order

Identify $m \in \omega$ with $c_m: \omega \rightarrow \omega; f \mapsto m$

and " $\omega \subseteq \omega_\omega$ "
 $\omega_c = \{c_m: m \in \omega\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ap}(f, c_m) &= f(m) \\ \text{ap}(c_m, c_m) &= c_m \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp(m, m) = m^m$$

$$\mathcal{A}^2 = \langle \omega_\omega, \omega(\cdot), \text{ap}(\cdot, \cdot), +, \cdot, \exp(\cdot, \cdot) \rangle$$

$$p(\cdot) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

$p(n)$ the n th prime number

all functions return value 0 outside the scope of intended interpretation.

In this setting function quantifiers $\exists! x \forall! y$ etc are just usual quantifiers! Number quantifiers!

$$\exists^a_m \varphi \Leftrightarrow \exists m (\omega(m) \wedge \varphi)$$

$$\forall^a_m \varphi \Leftrightarrow \forall m (\omega(m) \rightarrow \varphi)$$

We may now consider
 $A \subseteq {}^1(\omega_\omega)$ instead of
 $A \subseteq {}^{\omega \times 1}(\omega_\omega)$
 $\cap {}^1(\omega_\omega)$

The modification of $\mathcal{A}^2$ might change the extent of Δ^0

$A \subseteq {}^1(\omega_\omega)$ is Δ^0 if A is defined in $\mathcal{A}^2$ by a bounded formula φ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}^2}$

$$(i.e. A = \{ \vec{a} \in {}^1(\omega_\omega) : \mathcal{A}^2 \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \})$$

φ is bounded iff φ in prenex form contains only number quantifiers which are bounded of the form

$$(\exists^a_m < t), (\forall^a_m < t)$$

↑
a term in $\mathcal{A}^2$

$AC_\omega(\omega_\omega)$: Axiom of choice

for countable sequences of sets of

reals: For ω -seq $\langle A_n | n \in \omega \rangle$

$$\emptyset \neq A_n \subseteq \omega_\omega \exists f: \omega \rightarrow \omega_\omega \text{ p.t.}$$

$$f(n) \in A_n$$

This with AC is assumed from now on,
 always!

Projective hierarchy

(3) W open $\Leftrightarrow F_1$
 since W is Baire

$AS^1(W)$ is $\sum_0^1$

if A is open. For new

$\sum_1^1$ bold face

$\sum_1^1$

A is $\sum_1^1$ if $W \setminus A$ is $\sum_1^1$

(in particular A is $\sum_1^1$ iff A is a closed set)

A is $\sum_{nm}^1$ if $A = p(B)$ where B is Π_m^1

$= \{ \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \in W \mid \langle f_1, f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \in B \text{ for some } f_i \in W \}$

We consider W under the product topology of the discrete topology of W .

A basic open set of W is of the form

$O_p = \{ f \in W \mid p \leq f \}$ for each partial function $p: D \rightarrow W$ $D \in [W]^{<\omega}$

W is considered under the product topology of W

A basic open set of this topology is of the form

$O_{p_0} \times \dots \times O_{p_{k-1}}$ $p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}$ as before.

$\Delta_1^1 = \Pi_1^1 \cap \sum_1^1$ for new

(with $\sum_1^1$ we also denote the set of all $A \subseteq W$ for some l which are $\sum_1^1$)

Thm 19.1 (2) The following diagram holds

$\Delta_0^1 \subseteq \sum_0^1 \subseteq \Delta_1^1 \subseteq \sum_1^1 \subseteq \Delta_2^1 \subseteq \sum_2^1$

$\Delta_0^1 \subseteq \Pi_0^1 \subseteq \Delta_1^1 \subseteq \Pi_1^1 \subseteq \Delta_2^1 \subseteq \Pi_2^1 \dots$

(2) All the inclusions in (2) are proper

(3) Δ_1^1 is dense set.

(4) Δ_1^1 is Baire set.

Prop $\sum_1^1$ sets are called analytic sets. (historically)

Π_1^1 " " co-analytic sets.

Caution in the classical setting where we consider $A \subseteq {}^{\omega}R$ The definition of $\sum_0^1$ set should be F_σ

$AS^1(W)$ is said to be projective if A is $\sum_n^1$ for some new

Thm 19.2 ($\Sigma^1_1 + AC_\omega(W_\omega)$)

$A \subseteq {}^l(W_\omega)$ is Σ^1_n iff

A is Σ^1_n (in the sense of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}^2)$)

over some $q \in W_\omega$

How $A \subseteq {}^l(W_\omega)$ is projective iff

A is definable subset of ${}^l(W_\omega)$ in $\mathcal{P}^2$

$A \subseteq {}^l(W_\omega)$ is projective iff

A is definable subset of ${}^l(W_\omega)$ in $\langle \mathcal{H}(M), \in \rangle$

Thm 18.1 ($\Sigma^1_1 + AC_\omega(W_\omega)$)

For $a, m \in \mathbb{N}$ $q \in W_\omega$ and $A \subseteq {}^l(W_\omega)$

A is $\Sigma^1_{m+1}(a)$ iff A is definable by a Σ^1_m -formula φ (in $\mathcal{L}_\Sigma$) in $\langle \mathcal{H}(M), \in \rangle$

The following theorems are not to be proved in this course.

Thm 19.4 If $V=L$ then there is Δ^1_2 sets of reals which are not Lebesgue measurable

(3) If a certain large cardinal exists (Powers set up is enough!)

then all projective sets are Lebesgue measurable (and much more!)

(2) All Σ^1_1 and Π^1_1 sets are Lebesgue measurable.

Notations:

For $A \subseteq {}^l(W_\omega)$, we write

$\vec{w} \in A \Leftrightarrow A(\vec{w})$

For $m_0, \dots, m_n, i \in \omega$

$\langle\langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle\rangle = \underbrace{p(0)^{m_0+1} \times p(1)^{m_1+1} \times \dots \times p(n)^{m_n+1}}_{\text{definable as a } \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}^2}\text{-term}}$

$(m)_i = \min\{e \leq m \mid p(i)^{e+2} \text{ does not divide } m\}$

definable function

definable by a bounded formula (i.e. Δ^1_0)

We have

$(\langle\langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle\rangle)_i = m_i$

$A_i = \begin{cases} \langle\langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle\rangle & \text{if } i = \langle\langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle\rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

For $\alpha \in W_\omega$ and $i \in \omega$

$[x]_i(m) = x(\langle\langle i, m \rangle\rangle) = ap(x, \langle\langle i, m \rangle\rangle)$

definable as an $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}^2}$ -term.

For $\alpha \in W_\omega$ and $m \in \omega$

$x \upharpoonright m = \langle\langle x(0), x(1), \dots, x(m-1) \rangle\rangle$ (definable in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}^2}$)

For $\vec{x} \in {}^l(W_\omega)$ and $m \in \omega$ with $\vec{x} = \langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$

$\vec{x} \upharpoonright m = \langle f_0 \upharpoonright m, \dots, f_{n-1} \upharpoonright m \rangle$