

Recap: projection hierarchy

$A \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$ is $\vec{\Sigma}_0^1$ is A open

A is $\vec{\Pi}_n^1$ ($n \geq 0$) if

$l(w_w) \setminus A$ is $\vec{\Sigma}_n^1$

(in particular A is $\vec{\Pi}_0^1$ iff A is closed)

A is $\vec{\Sigma}_{n+1}^1$ iff $A = p(B)$

$= \{ \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \in B \text{ for some } x_i \in {}^l(w_w) \}$
for some $\vec{\Pi}_n^1$ set $B \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$

($\vec{\Sigma}^1$ $\vec{\Pi}^1$ boldface Σ and Π)

Σ_n^1, Π_n^1 and $\Sigma_n^1(a)$ $\Pi_n^1(a)$ are defined in terms of $\mathcal{A}^2$

Remark $\vec{\Pi}_n^1$ are closed wrt projection

$A \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$ is projective if there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 A is $\vec{\Sigma}_m^1$.

A is $\vec{\Delta}_n^1$ iff A is $\vec{\Sigma}_n^1$ and $\vec{\Pi}_n^1$

$\vec{\Sigma}_0^1 \subseteq \vec{\Sigma}_1^1 \subseteq \vec{\Sigma}_2^1 \subseteq \dots$
 $\vec{\Pi}_0^1 \subseteq \vec{\Pi}_1^1 \subseteq \vec{\Pi}_2^1 \subseteq \dots$
clapneto $\ominus$ the inclusions are proper

For $a \in {}^l(w_w)$ $A \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$ is $\Sigma_n^1(a)$ $n \geq 1$
iff there is a $L_{\mathcal{A}^2}$ -formula φ of the form

$\exists^1 x_1 \forall^2 x_2 \dots Q^1 x_m \varphi_0(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k, z)$

s.t. $\vec{a} \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models \varphi(\vec{a}, a)$ i.e. A is definable by φ
with the parameter a

where φ_0 contains only number
quantifiers.

still
to be proved!

Thm 19.2 ($ZF + AC_w(w_w)$), $n \geq 1$

$A \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$ is $\vec{\Sigma}_n^1$ iff

A is $\Sigma_n^1(a)$ for some $a \in {}^l(w_w)$

Cor 20.1 $A \subseteq {}^l(w_w)$ is projective

iff A is definable in $\mathcal{A}$ with some parameter.

$AC_{\omega}(\mathcal{U}_{\omega})$: there is a choice function for any countable family of non empty subsets of $\mathcal{U}_{\omega}$.
 (Also yet to be proved!)

Thm 18.1 ($ZF + AC_{\omega}(\mathcal{U}_{\omega})$) for $m \in \omega$
 $A \subseteq I(\omega_{\omega})$ is $\sum_{m \in \omega}^1 (a)$ iff A is $\sum_m$ definable in $\langle H(X_A), \epsilon \rangle$ with a

Cor 20.2 $A \subseteq I(\omega_{\omega})$ is projective iff

A is definable in $\langle H(X_A), \epsilon \rangle$ with a parameter

Notations:

we can side ω as though at $\{c_n : n \in \omega\}$ where
 $c_n : \omega \rightarrow \omega; k \mapsto n$
 $k \mapsto k^{th} \text{ prime}$

$A^2 = \langle \omega_{\omega}, \omega, ap(\cdot, \cdot), \cdot + \cdot, \cdot \times \cdot, exp(\cdot, \cdot), p(\cdot), \langle \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle \rangle$

For $m_1 \dots m_n \in \omega$

$$\langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle = p(0)^{m_0+1} \times p(1)^{m_1+1} \times \dots \times p(n)^{m_n+1}$$

Responsible
by def-term

$$(m)_i = \min \{e \leq m : p(i)^{e+2} \text{ does not divide } m\}$$

definable by bounded formula (Δ_0^a)

$$A_i = \begin{cases} \langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle & \text{if } i = \langle m_0, \dots, m_n \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\parallel [f]_i = ap(f, \langle i, 0 \rangle) \parallel$$

For $f \in \mathcal{U}_{\omega}$

$$[f]_i : \omega \rightarrow \omega; m \mapsto f(\langle i, m \rangle) = ap(f, \langle i, m \rangle)$$

↑
 expressible by an Δ_2 term

for $t \in \omega_{\omega} \quad m \in \omega$

$$f \restriction m = \langle \langle f(0), \dots, f(m-1) \rangle \rangle$$

For $i < m$

$$(f \restriction m)_i = f(i)$$

Lemma 20.3 (Contraction of bounded
(a) quantifiers) $\underbrace{\text{qf-term } t}_{\text{qf-term } t}$
For a qf-formula φ and $\vec{w} \in \mathcal{W}_W$

$$\mathcal{A}^2 \models (\forall p < t) \exists^0_m \varphi(p, m, \vec{w}, \dots)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models \exists^0_m \forall p < t \varphi(p, (m)_p, \vec{w}, \dots)$$

(2) ...

$$\mathcal{A}^2 \models (\exists^0_m)(\exists^0_m) \varphi(m, m, \dots)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models (\exists^0_m) \varphi((m)_0, (m)_1, \dots)$$

The corresponding assertions also hold for dual formulas

Lemma 20.4 (Contraction of bounded
order quantifiers)

$$\mathcal{A}^2 \models (\exists^1 f_0)(\exists^1 f_1) \varphi(f_0, f_1, \dots)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models (\exists^1 f) \varphi([f]_0, [f]_1, \dots)$$

Lemma 20.5 ($\exists F + A_W(\mathcal{W}_W)$)

(1) $\mathcal{A}^2 \models \forall^0_m \exists^1 f \varphi(m, f, \dots)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models \exists^1 f \forall^0_m \varphi(m, [f]_m, \dots)$$

(2) $\mathcal{A}^2 \models \exists^0_m \exists^1 f \varphi(m, f, \dots)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models \exists^1 f \varphi([f]_0, [f]_1, \dots)$$

Lemma 20.6 $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W}_W)$ is $\Sigma^1_n(a)$
iff there is a qf-formula $\hat{\varphi}$ s.t.

$$\vec{w} \in A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2 \models \exists^1 f_1 \forall f_2 \dots Q^1 f_m Q^0_m \hat{\varphi}(m, w_1 \upharpoonright m, w_2 \upharpoonright m, \dots, f_1 \upharpoonright m, f_2 \upharpoonright m, \dots, a \upharpoonright m)$$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \forall^0_m \exists^1 & \exists^0_m \forall^0 \end{matrix}$

$\vec{w} = \langle w_1, \dots, w_k \rangle$

Th 19.2 follows from this lemma!