

# The trichotomy of the possible size of the continuum under the existence of a Laver-generic large cardinal

梶野 昌 (Sakaé Fuchino)

主な更新日: 19.06.03(Mo12:35(JST)) 19.05.30(Th23:18(JST))

2019年6月3日(12:35)版

**Definition 0.1** *For a cardinal  $\kappa$  and a class  $\mathcal{P}$  of posets, we call  $\kappa$  a Laver-generically supercompact for  $\mathcal{P}$  if, for any  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  and any  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ , there are a poset  $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\mathbb{P} \leq \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(V, \mathbb{Q})$ -generic filter  $\mathbb{H}$  such that there are an inner model  $M \subseteq V[\mathbb{H}]$  and a class function  $j \subseteq V[\mathbb{H}]$  with*

$$(0.1) \quad j : V \xrightarrow{\sim} M,$$

$$(0.2) \quad \text{crit}(j) = \kappa, j(\kappa) > \lambda,$$

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H} \in M \text{ and}$$

$$(0.4) \quad j''\lambda \in M.$$

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is called Laver-generically superhuge (super almost-huge, resp.), if the conditions in Definition 0.1 holds with (0.4) replaced by

$$(0.5) \quad j''j(\kappa) \in M \quad (j''\mu \in M \text{ for all } \mu < j(\kappa), \text{ resp.}).$$

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is tightly Laver-generically supercompact (superhuge, super almost-huge, resp.) if we have

$$(0.6) \quad |\mathbb{Q}| = j(\kappa)$$

in addition for the poset  $\mathbb{Q}$  in the definition of Laver-generical supercompactness (superhugeness, super almost-hugeness, resp.)

**Lemma 0.1** (Lemma 2.4 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P})$ -generic filter for a poset  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{V}$  and  $j : \mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \subseteq \mathbb{V}[\mathbb{G}]$  such that, for cardinals  $\kappa, \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  with  $\kappa \leq \lambda$ ,  $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$  and  $j''\lambda \in M$ .*

- (1) *For any set  $A \in \mathbb{V}$  with  $\mathbb{V} \models |A| \leq \lambda$ , we have  $j''A \in M$ .*
- (2)  *$j \upharpoonright \lambda, j \upharpoonright \lambda^2 \in M$ .*
- (3) *For any  $A \in \mathbb{V}$  with  $A \subseteq \lambda$  or  $A \subseteq \lambda^2$  we have  $A \in M$ .*
- (4)  *$(\lambda^+)^M \geq (\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}}$ , Thus, if  $(\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}} = (\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}[\mathbb{G}]}$ , then  $(\lambda^+)^M = (\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}}$ .*
- (5)  *$\mathcal{H}(\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}} \subseteq M$ .*
- (6)  *$j \upharpoonright A \in M$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda^+)^{\mathbb{V}}$ .*

**Theorem 0.2** (Theorem 6.2 in [2]) (1) *Suppose that ZFC + “there exists a supercompact cardinal (super almost-huge cardinal, superhuge cardinal, resp.)” is consistent. Then ZFC + “there exists a tightly Laver-generically supercompact cardinal (super almost-huge cardinal, superhuge cardinal, resp.) for  $\sigma$ -closed posets” is consistent as well.*

(2) *Suppose that ZFC + “there exists a superhuge cardinal” is consistent. Then ZFC + “there exists a tightly Laver-generically super almost-huge cardinal” is consistent as well.*

(3) *Suppose that ZFC + “there exists a supercompact cardinal (superhuge cardinal, resp.)” is consistent. Then ZFC + “there exists a tightly Laver-generically supercompact cardinal (super almost-huge cardinal, resp.) for ccc posets” is consistent as well.  $\square$*

**Proposition 0.3** (1) (Lemma 6.3 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\kappa$  is generically measurable by a  $\omega_1$  preserving  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\kappa > \omega_1$ .*

(2) (Lemma 6.4 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\kappa$  is Laver-generically supercompact for  $\omega_1$ -preserving  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\text{Col}(\omega_1, \{\omega_2\}) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then we have  $\kappa = \omega_2$ .*

(3) (Lemma 6.5 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a class of posets containing a poset  $\mathbb{P}$  such that any  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P})$ -generic filter  $\mathbb{G}$  codes a new real. If  $\kappa$  is a Laver-generically supercompact for  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

(4) (Lemma 6.6 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a class of posets such that elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  do not add any reals. If  $\kappa$  is generically supercompact by  $\mathcal{P}$ , then we have  $2^{\aleph_0} < \kappa$ .*

(5) (Proposition 2.7 in [2]) *Suppose that  $\kappa$  is generically supercompact for a class  $\mathcal{P}$  of posets such that all  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$  are  $\mu$ -cc for some  $\mu \in \text{Card}$ . Then*

- (a) *SCH holds above  $\max\{2^{<\kappa}, \mu\}$ .*
- (b) *For all regular  $\lambda \geq \kappa$ , there is a  $\mu$ -saturated normal fine filter over  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$ .*

(6) (Theorem 6.8 in [2]) *If  $\kappa$  is tightly Laver-generically superhuge for ccc posets, then  $\kappa = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

For a class  $\mathcal{P}$  of posets and cardinals  $\mu, \kappa$ , we consider the following strengthening of the forcing axiom for  $\mathcal{P}$ :

$\text{MA}^{+\mu}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$ : For any  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ , any family  $\mathcal{D}$  of dense subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $|\mathcal{D}| < \kappa$  and any family  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathbb{P}$ -names such that  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \mu$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\underline{s} \text{ is a stationary subset of } \omega_1\text{”}$  for all  $\underline{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -generic filter  $\mathbb{G}$  over  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\underline{s}[\mathbb{G}]$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$  for all  $\underline{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

For a poset  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\underline{s}$  of a set of subsets of  $\text{On}$  and a filter  $\mathbb{G}$  on  $\mathbb{P}$ , let

$$(0.7) \quad \underline{s}(\mathbb{G}) = \{b : b = \{\alpha \in \text{On} : \mathbb{p} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\check{\alpha} \varepsilon \underline{s}\text{” for a } \mathbb{p} \in \mathbb{G}\} \text{ for a } \mathbb{P}\text{-name } \underline{s} \\ \text{such that } \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\underline{s} \varepsilon \underline{s} \text{ and } \text{sup}(\underline{s}) \equiv \text{sup}(b)\text{”}\}.$$

Note that if  $\mathbb{G}$  is a  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{P})$ -generic filter, then  $\underline{s}(\mathbb{G}) = \underline{s}[\mathbb{G}]$ .

For uncountable cardinals  $\mu$  and  $\kappa > \aleph_1$ , let  $\text{MA}^{++\mu}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$  be the strengthening of  $\text{MA}^{+\mu}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$  defined by:

$\text{MA}^{++\mu}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$ : For any  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ , any family  $\mathcal{D}$  of dense subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $|\mathcal{D}| < \kappa$  and any family  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathbb{P}$ -names such that  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \mu$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\underline{s} \text{ is a stationary subset of } \mathcal{P}_{\eta_{\underline{s}}}(\theta_{\underline{s}})\text{”}$  for some  $\omega < \eta_{\underline{s}} \leq \theta_{\underline{s}} \leq \mu$  with  $\eta_{\underline{s}}$  regular, for all  $\underline{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -generic filter  $\mathbb{G}$  over  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\underline{s}(\mathbb{G})$  is stationary in  $\mathcal{P}_{\eta_{\underline{s}}}(\theta_{\underline{s}})$  for all  $\underline{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Clearly  $\text{MA}^{++\omega_1}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$  is equivalent to  $\text{MA}^{+\omega_1}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$ .

**Theorem 0.4** (Theorem 6.7 in [2]) For an arbitrary class  $\mathcal{P}$  of posets, if  $\kappa > \aleph_1$  is a Laver-generically supercompact for  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\text{MA}^{++\mu}(\mathcal{P}, < \kappa)$  holds for all  $\mu < \kappa$ .

For principles “SDLS  $\dots$ ” mentioned below, see [1] and [2].

**Theorem 0.5** (Theorem 6.9 in [2]) (1) Suppose that  $\kappa$  is Laver-generically supercompact for  $\sigma$ -closed posets. Then  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ ,  $\kappa = \aleph_2$ ,  $\text{MA}^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$  and hence also  $\text{SDLS}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2)$  holds.

(2) Suppose that  $\kappa$  is Laver-generically supercompact for proper posets. Then  $2^{\aleph_0} = \kappa = \aleph_2$ ,  $\text{PFA}^{+\omega_1}$  and hence also  $\text{SDLS}^-(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < 2^{\aleph_0})$  holds.

(3) Suppose that  $\kappa$  is Laver-generically supercompact for ccc posets. Then  $2^{\aleph_0} \geq \kappa$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\lambda)$  for any regular  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  carries an  $\aleph_1$ -saturated normal ideal. In particular,  $\kappa$  is  $\kappa$ -weakly Mahlo.  $\text{MA}^{++\mu}(\text{ccc}, < \kappa)$  for all  $\mu < \kappa$ ,  $\text{SDLS}^{int}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \kappa)$  and  $\text{SDLS}^{int}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{PKL}, < \kappa)$  also hold.

## References

- [1] Sakaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, Strong downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, I, preprint.  
<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-x.pdf>
  
- [2] akaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, Strong downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, II, in preparation.  
<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-II-x.pdf>